

Request for Proposal for

**MULTI-ROUTE
CONDUIT CONSTRUCTION,
OPTICAL FIBER SERVICES**

Columbus, Indiana

ADDENDUM 1 – 01/13/2009

I. Respondent Q & A

1. On page 5 of the RFP in Section 2.2 #1 it requires that it requires [the Respondent] to submit 9 copies, 1 printed original (marked original) and 1 CD-ROM version. On page 33 under info for bidders section #8 Forms it says that [the Respondent] must submit 3 copies. How many copies of the RFP response should be submitted?

Each Respondent should submit nine (9) copies of their bid package.

2. Regarding Quazite handholes, what size racks are to be used, how many for each hand hole, and what length of hooks should be used?

Respondents shall provide two cost estimates. One that includes Two (2) 18" Cable Racks with two (2) 10" length Cable Rack Hooks installed in each Quazite handholes and a second estimate for each Quazite handhole without Cable Racks and Cable Rack Hooks.

3. Is this job a prevailing wage job? Refer to page 31 of the "Notice to Bidders" -- Paragraph #2.

If the construction contract is \$100,000 or more, then the City of Columbus must pay prevailing wage. The City of Columbus is taking the necessary steps to determine the scale and will post this information as soon as it is available.

4. In the past flowable fill has been a requirement for City of Columbus construction projects. Is this use of flowable fill a construction requirement for this bid process?

Yes, the use of flowable fill is a City of Columbus requirement for any work done in the right-of-way.

5. In the past handholes have been marked with name plates, as specified by the Mayor's office. Are handhole name plates a requirement for this bid process? If so, please specify the text that shall be used.

Yes, handhole name plates are a requirement for this bid process. The text used shall be determined by the TAC and the Selected Respondent shall be notified accordingly.

6. In the event that an existing Greater Columbus Conduit System handhole is full (e.g. it is a junction point for multiple conduits), does the Respondent have the leeway to propose additional handholes if necessary?

Yes, the Respondent should propose a design that best suites the needs of the Greater Columbus Conduit System. If a Respondent's design does include handholes other than those required in Section IV of the RFP, the Respondent shall provide an explanation of the purpose of the additional handhole(s).